



Level of Service Inventory-Revised

By D.A. Andrews, Ph.D. & James L. Bonta, Ph.D.

Comparative Report

Name:

Assessment Age:	37
Gender:	Male
Social Security #:	
# of Assessments Included:	4
Assessment 1 Date:	September 07, 2000
Assessment 2 Date:	February 14, 2001
Assessment 3 Date:	October 17, 2001
Assessment 4 Date:	March 24, 2003




Copyright © 2002, Multi-Health Systems Inc. All rights reserved
P.O. Box 950, North Tonawanda, NY 14120-0950
3770 Victoria Park Ave., Toronto, ON M2H 3M6

Introduction

The Level of Service Inventory-Revised is a risk and needs assessment tool. This report summarizes the results of the LSI-R for multiple administrations, and is helpful in assessing changes in risk/needs over time.

LSI-R Total Score

The following graph shows the LSI-R Scores for each assessment chosen.



Basic Analysis

Since the initial administration, there has been a steady increase in the LSI-R Total Scores indicative of increasing risk/needs. On the most recent administration, the classification level suggested is Maximum. If this LSI-R result is supported by other sources of information, then in institutional settings consideration should be given to increasing level of supervision to maximum. Medium security may be possible but only when prison experience is acceptable. In community settings, the LSI-R score may reflect a worsening situation indicative of increased risk/needs. Maximum level of community supervision/service is highly recommended.

Admin 1 vs Admin 2 comparison:

The score for the first administration was significantly lower than the second administration. The first administration indicated a Low-Medium classification level while the second administration indicated a High-Medium classification level.

Admin 2 vs Admin 3 comparison:

The score for the second administration was slightly lower than the third administration, but both administrations were indicative of a High-Medium classification level.

Admin 3 vs Admin 4 comparison:


The score for the third administration was significantly lower than the fourth administration. The fourth administration indicated a Maximum classification. Comparing the last administration and the previous one, consideration should be given to increasing level of supervision to maximum in institutional settings. Medium security may be possible only when prison experience is acceptable. In community settings, the LSI-R score may reflect a worsening situation indicative of increased risk/needs. Maximum level of community supervision/service is highly recommended.

LSI-R Subcomponent Scores


The following graphs displays changes in risk/needs scores across administrations.

Graph Legend: 0 = Very Low 1 = Low 2 = Medium 3 = High 4 = Very High


Criminal History




Education/Employment




Financial




Family/Marital




Accommodation




Leisure/Recreation




Companions




Alcohol/Drug Problem



Emotional/Personal



Attitudes/Orientation



Summary of LSI-R Item Responses

The following response values were entered for the items on the Level of Service Inventory-Revised Form.

Administration					Administration					Administration				
Item	1	2	3	4	Item	1	2	3	4	Item	1	2	3	4
1.	Y	Y	Y	Y	19.	2	2	2	1	37.	N	Y	N	Y
2.	N	Y	Y	Y	20.	2	2	3	1	38.	Y	N	N	Y
3.	N	Y	Y	Y	21.	2	1	1	1	39.	3	1	1	1
4.	N	N	Y	Y	22.	N	N	Y	Y	40.	1	2	2	1
5.	N	Y	Y	Y	23.	1	1	1	1	41.	Y	Y	N	Y
6.	N	N	N	Y	24.	1	2	2	1	42.	Y	N	Y	Y
7.	N	Y	N	Y	25.	1	1	3	1	43.	Y	Y	N	Y
8.	N	N	N	Y	26.	Y	N	Y	Y	44.	N	N	Y	Y
9.	Y	Y	N	Y	27.	1	2	1	1	45.	N	Y	N	Y
10.	N	N	Y	Y	28.	Y	Y	N	Y	46.	Y	N	Y	Y
11.	N	Y	N	Y	29.	N	N	N	Y	47.	N	Y	Y	Y
12.	N	N	Y	Y	30.	N	Y	Y	Y	48.	N	N	Y	Y
13.	N	Y	N	Y	31.	1	1	1	1	49.	N	Y	N	Y
14.	N	N	Y	Y	32.	Y	N	Y	Y	50.	N	N	Y	Y
15.	N	Y	N	Y	33.	N	Y	Y	Y	51.	3	1	3	1
16.	N	N	Y	Y	34.	N	N	Y	Y	52.	3	2	1	1
17.	N	Y	N	Y	35.	N	Y	N	Y	53.	N	Y	Y	Y
18.	2	1	1	1	36.	N	N	Y	Y	54.	N	N	N	Y

User- Defined Items

The following response values were entered for the User-Defined Items.

Administration

Item	1	2	3	4
55.	2	1	1	1
56.	3	1	1	1
57.	?			
58.				
59.				

Summary of Omitted Items

This table summarizes the number of questions that were left unanswered for the items on the Level of Service Inventory-Revised Form.

Administration	Omitted
1.	0
2.	0
3.	0
4.	0

Additional Item Information for Administration 1

- 1. Number of prior convictions: 1
- 4. Number of present offenses: 1
- 8. Number of times punished for institutional misconduct: Not Specified
- 40. Type of drug associated with current drug problem: None Specified
- 45. Other indicators of drug problem: None Specified
- 50. Area of psychological assessment indicated: None Specified

Additional Item Information for Administration 2

- 1. Number of prior convictions: Not Specified
- 4. Number of present offenses: Not Specified
- 8. Number of times punished for institutional misconduct: Not Specified
- 40. Type of drug associated with current drug problem: None Specified
- 45. Other indicators of drug problem: None Specified
- 50. Area of psychological assessment indicated: None Specified

Additional Item Information for Administration 3

- 1. Number of prior convictions: Not Specified
- 4. Number of present offenses: Not Specified
- 8. Number of times punished for institutional misconduct: Not Specified
- 40. Type of drug associated with current drug problem: None Specified
- 45. Other indicators of drug problem: None Specified
- 50. Area of psychological assessment indicated: None Specified

Additional Item Information for Administration 4

- 1. Number of prior convictions: Not Specified
- 4. Number of present offenses: Not Specified
- 8. Number of times punished for institutional misconduct: Not Specified
- 40. Type of drug associated with current drug problem: None Specified
- 45. Other indicators of drug problem: None Specified
- 50. Area of psychological assessment indicated: None Specified

Date Printed: Tuesday, November 09, 2004

End of Report